LEGISLATIVE AUDIT COMMISSION 1 **April 29, 2025** 2 Springfield, Illinois 3 4 5 6 Senators: Rose, Ellman, Manley, Wilcox, Villanueva 7 Representatives: Crespo, Elik, Meier, Moore 8 Meeting began at 9:12 a.m. 9 10 Crespo: Good morning, everyone, it's Tuesday, April 29th, 2025. I'm 11 12 Representative Fred Crespo and I call to order this meeting of the Legislative Audit Commission. Let me acknowledge the presence of the Audit Commission members 13 today. We have Representative's Elik, new member Meier, Representative Meier, new 14 member. Representative Moore. Senator Ellman. Senator Manley. Senator Wilcox. 15 Senator Villanueva. Am I missing anybody? I think I've got them all. And today is an in-16 person meeting only, LIS is recording and The Blue Room is streaming. It's providing 17 video and audio, so the public and media could be listening online. Just a note for 18 University or Agency officials and members, for transcribing purposes, please allow 19 speakers to finish before the next question is asked. And please do your best to avoid 20 talking over each other. 21 Our audits today are for Eastern Illinois University, The Illinois 22 Board of Higher Education, and The Illinois Community College Board. The first review 23 24 is of Eastern Illinois University. President Gatrell and other officials, please make your way to the table. And the Auditor General. 25 Mautino: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And welcome back to the members of 26 27 the Audit Commission, and welcome Representative Meier. Also wanted to introduce our new Director of Financial and Compliance, Courtney Dzierwa. Jane Clark retired 28

this year, and Courtney is having, is here for her first, not your first meeting, but your first meeting as Director. So, I wanted to welcome you and thank you for bringing some of our new auditors to see how their work is used here under the dome. So, welcome guys.

And with this, our first audit today is a compliance examination of Eastern Illinois University for the year end June 30, 2023, and it was conducted by the firm of Sikich. Meredith Angel is at the table with us, and she's here on behalf of the firm. Our Audit Manager for this audit was Tom Kizziah, and Courtney is going to discuss the examination.

Dzierwa: There were 10 total findings in this compliance examination. I will summarize a few. In finding 6, we found the University had weaknesses over the security of computers. As of the end of the examination period, we noted 1,546 of 2,756, or 56%, of computers still required encryption to be installed. This finding was first reported in 2021. We recommended the University ensure all laptops and computer equipment have adequate security, including encryption, installed. University officials agreed with the finding, and stated they prioritized and completed encryption of high-risk areas and were in the process of replacing computers that were not capable of encryption.

In finding 10, we found the University did not comply with the University Faculty Research and Consulting Act regarding outside research or consulting services. During our testing of eight completed forms by eight employees requesting approval for outside employment, we noted the following exceptions. Three of the eight forms tested, or 38%, were not submitted by faculty prior to beginning outside service. These requests were made between 5 and 53 days after the outside employment began. Due to late submissions, these forms were also not approved by the University's President, or designee, timely. Two of the eight forms tested, or 25%, were submitted timely, but were approved after the outside employment began from 2 to 68 days late. And eight of eight employees, or 100%, whose outside employment ended during the fiscal year, did not complete the required annual statement identifying the

amount of actual time spent on outside work. We recommended the University enhance 1 its internal controls to ensure faculty members with outside research or consulting services receive written pre-approval to conduct the request activity and annual disclosure time spent on these activities in accordance with the act. University officials agreed with the finding, and stated they continue to send regular emails to full time faculty to remind them of their obligation under the act.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

This concludes our opening remarks on the compliance examination of Eastern Illinois University for the year ended June 30th, 2023.

Crespo: Thank you, Auditor. Before I forget, I also want to welcome our new Director here from the Legislative Audit Commission, that's Jaimee Ray. Jaimee's been with State Government for quite some time. I've known of her, but I had not realized that once she took this position, she has a fan club out there. It's amazing how many people know her and think very highly of her. So, we're very lucky to have her. And with that, thank you again Auditor, and welcome President. Please introduce yourself and those who came with you. And the floor is yours.

Gatrell: Good morning and I thank you for inviting us to Springfield to answer your questions. My name is Jay Gatrell and I have the honor of serving as the President of Eastern Illinois University. I'm joined today by Mr. Matt Bierman, Vice President for Business Affairs, and Mr. Mike Hutchinson, University Treasurer. As President, I can assure you that EIU is committed to continuous improvement and we look forward to enhancing university operations and responding to your concerns. To that end, EIU's fiscal year 23 audit period included 10 findings, and I'm pleased to report that four of those findings have been resolved based on the fiscal year 24 review through changes in local practices that align with state priorities, procedures, and rules. I recognize that we have work to do, and we look forward to our continued partnership with the Auditor General's office. More importantly, EIU benefits from, and truly values, the independent assessment of outside audit firms, as it enables us to enliven our commitment to the citizens of the state of Illinois, our employees, and our amazing students. Thank you.

1	Crespo:	Any questions from the Commission? Representative Elik.	
2	Elik:	Good morning. I just wondered if you could expand on the four	
3	audit findings that y	ou have completed. Just so that we have note of that.	
4	Bierman:	Yes. So, the four that my name is Matt Bierman, I'm the Vice	
5	President for Busine	ess Affairs. The four that were not repeated include audit finding	
6	number two, weakness in preparation of year-end financial statements. Number audit		
7	finding three, the lack of adequate review of service providers and internal controls.		
8	Audit finding number seven, weaknesses in cyber security programs and practices. And		
9	audit finding 10, which was referenced earlier regarding the University Faculty Research		
10	and Consulting Act.		
11	Elik:	So, you're saying those were, you've handled those. They're not	
12	going to recur in the future unless there's some change in the		
13	Bierman:	That is correct. We put in the controls we believed we needed to.	
14	They did not repeat in the FY24 finding. And we're hopeful that they wouldn't repeat in		
15	FY25.		
16	Elik:	Okay, and then maybe on some of the ones that are repeated from	
17	prior, I know it seem	is like everybody that comes, not everybody, but many that come	
18	before us have issues with the reconciliation of SERS information. Have you undertaken		
19	steps to make sure	that your census data is accurate?	
20	Bierman:	Yes, and I'm pleased to report that we were behind the eight ball on	
21	this. We have made up a tremendous amount of ground. We will expect an additional		
22	finding for FY25, just because of the timing, but just in the last month, we have		
23	submitted the census data for FY23 and FY24, which will bring us current. We are still		
24	answering questions from SERS about our submission, but those have been submitted.		
25	I would expect that this will fall off for us in FY26.		
26	Elik:	That's great, okay. And then one of things that was mentioned in	
27	the opening was the	controls over the computer systems and basically the cyber	

security, right? So, have you, what have you undertaken to get all those computers in 1 2 compliance? And can you talk about the cost incurred to replace computers? Was that 3 an unusual cost or did you have that built into your . . . 4 Bierman: It was an, yeah, sorry. It was an unusual cost. And Microsoft was actually forcing us to speed that up, and they've softened their deadline. It was October 5 of '25 where we had to have that done. They've pushed their deadline back, so we 6 actually pushed our deadline back as well, just simply to conserve fiscal resources and 7 prioritize them in a different way on campus. But we still are on track. And we would 8 expect that sometime during FY27 we will be in compliance with those security 9 10 measures. And as was mentioned, we continue to prioritize our highest vulnerable areas. Right now, we have many of the computers that are still listed are ready for 11 12 surplus. So, we're trying to prioritize getting those surplused, so they'll fall off our list. And then we have a new plan on campus for, and how we're sourcing, or a replacement 13 cycle for all computers. So, we're trying to catch the oldest, not just those who maybe 14 are the squeaky wheel that say I need a new computer. 15 Elik: Very good. Thank you so much. That's all my questions. 16 17 Crespo: Thank you. I wanted to add to our roll, Senator Chapin Rose, our Co-Chair. And Senator Wilcox for questions. 18 19 Wilcox: Thank you. In regards to taking action on audit finding 10, great that 20 the internal controls are now in place, but would address whether you found any faculty not in compliance with the statute. 21 22 Bierman: I don't believe that we have knowledge of anybody who's not in 23 compliance. But we rely on our faculty to self-report on this. So, what we have done is 24 to make sure that the communication goes out to our faculty letting them know that they need to comply. But we do rely on our faculty to ensure that we are in compliance with 25 this. We do not have a way to know, for sure, if all faculty have submitted their 26 paperwork on a timely basis, or on a timely basis. 27

Wilcox: Let me ask that a little bit differently. What are you doing to ensure 1 that true compliance and not [inaudible] [No microphone usage] 2 **Hutchinson:** Mike Hutchinson, University Treasurer. Senator Wilcox, we send 3 4 out emails to them, I think, twice a semester, or twice a year, I'm sorry, at the beginning of each semester to let them know what their obligations are. Those come out of the 5 Provost Office. We also have an automated system for them to self-report, but that's 6 kind of where it stands. 7 Gatrell: I would say the challenge with compliance with that piece is not 8 only the self-reporting element, but five years ago, we did not have an electronic 9 framework to do that reporting. We now do. We now archive and have a live database. 10 so we know faculty who are engaged in that work, so we can then follow up as quickly 11 as possible to get the end data because compliance with this particular rule in a 12 voluntary environment on the part of faculty is extraordinarily difficult. It has also been 13 something we brought to the attention of our UPI partners because our faculty are 14 negotiated and it is a challenge. But the nature of compliance in a self-reporting 15 environment, particularly within the context of 37 and half hours of effort, and the nature 16 of faculty work, is a challenge. But it is something I acknowledge we can improve at and 17 I think every university probably can also have an opportunity to improve in that area 18 19 too. Wilcox: So, no contracting with an outside investigator [inaudible] [No 20 microphone usage] 21 Gatrell: If a faculty member is on a contract or a research grant, we of 22 23 course know that. That would be within the context of actual their current employment, and not necessarily outside employment. So, if they're working with a state agency, or 24 Fish and Wildlife Service, we would have that information. The challenge is truly 25 consulting outside and beyond the 37 and a half hours. And the degree to which we can 26 effectively comply, either from negotiation of a collective bargaining agreement, and or 27 28 other factors. It is a challenge, and it is, obviously, something we're addressing, and that's why we built the electronic system five years ago, to begin that process. I would 29

suggest, before we had the electronic system, I think we probably only had two faculty 1 2 members reporting annually. And we've really tried to strengthen that as best we can. 3 But it is a weakness, sir. 4 Crespo: Any other questions from the commission? And apologize, I got a little bit distracted here. Did anyone ask a question about audit number five? Which has 5 to do with the Illinois Articulation Initiative Act? 6 Elik: We didn't. 7 Crespo: You did? 8 Elik: No, we didn't. 9 Crespo: Okay. Can you speak to that finding? 10 Gatrell: Representative, thank you. The Illinois Articulation Initiative, 11 12 obviously, is a partnership across all institutions, particularly public institutions, across the state. Our challenge, with respect to the audit finding has been, we do not 13 14 necessarily have courses that align with, and we don't have the capacity to create new courses. We've submitted multiple submissions to various panels, and they have not 15 16 been accepted. Additionally, we've worked to restaff those panels with our own faculty, and our participation rate has improved over the last few years too, from a governess 17 perspective. But, we 100% accept the GECC you see, the challenge, of course, is in the 18 major based framework. 19 So, we can expect to see this again? 20 Crespo: Gatrell: Yes, sir. 21 Crespo: How about audit number four, which has to do with the State 22 23 Official Employees Ethics Act, it seems that the university has been non-compliant since 2005. 24

Gatrell: That is correct. We are in compliance for everyone except for our 1 negotiated faculty unit who have not agreed to that in the collective bargaining 2 3 agreement. We ask for it each time we begin to negotiate, but that has not been a term that we have come to an agreement on in that agreement. So, our faculty are the group 4 that makes us out of compliance. All of our other employees subscribe and participate in 5 our timely reporting. 6 7 Crespo: Has the university sought any type of statutory exchange to allow you to . . . otherwise, you'll continue to have this finding at infimum. Are you looking at 8 any legislation to alleviate those? 9 Bierman: We have not to date requested or tried to get any legislative change 10 to this particular act. 11 Crespo: Okay, any other questions from the Commission? Seeing no more 12 questions, we . . . Senator Rose? 13 Rose: 14 Thank you, just following up on that. I think every university has got 15 that same audit finding and has had that same audit finding since '05. If I'm not correct, there was an effort at some point in time to legislatively address that. I know Matt, 16 you've only been at Eastern for a couple of years, but there was an effort to address it. 17 But I don't think it went anywhere. I mean, personally, either the faculty should comply 18 19 or it shouldn't be a law. But, Eastern's not unique in that. 20 To the previous question, with articulation initiative, I think it's important that we note that the problem with the audit is that you can't just go and 21 22 create a geology course if you don't have a geology professor. And so, the articulation 23 initiative might say, well you're required to have this. Well, they, like all our universities, 24 don't have 100% of everything. And so, all of our universities end up with these two findings repeated time and again, even though it's really, at least in the articulation 25 initiative, it's really unfair. On the timesheet one, I'm a little bit more like they should 26 comply. But, anyway, I thought that's maybe perspective that needed to be shared. 27 28 Thank you.

Senator Rose has all this knowledge because he's been around Crespo: 1 since 1898, I guess. And seeing no more questions, Representative Elik moves to 2 3 accept the compliance audit of Eastern Illinois University, seconded by Senator Ellman. All in favor, say aye, opposed say, nay. The ayes have it, and the audits are accepted. 4 Thank you, President Gatrell, for joining us today. And next we have the Board of 5 Higher Education, Director Austro [SP], and other board staff, please make your way to 6 the table. And with that, Auditor General. 7 Thank you Mr. Chairman. Up next is the compliance examination 8 Mautino: for the Board of Higher Education for two years ended June 30, 2023. It was conducted 9 10 by the firm of Roth and Company, Epifanio Sadural and Tiffany Floresca are here on behalf of the firm. The audit manager for the engagement was Megan Greene. She's 11 12 with us today. Courtney Dzierwa, our Financial Compliance Division Director will discuss the examination. Courtney. 13 Courtney: There were 17 total findings in this compliance examination. I will 14 summarize a few. In finding 1, we found the Board's internal controls over its voucher 15 processing function were not operating effectively during the examination period. The 16 Board implemented the Enterprise Resource Planning System or ERP effective fiscal 17 year 2023. During our testing of non-payroll expenditures for FY22, we tested 25 18 vouchers totaling \$324,193.00 and found two of the vouchers tested or 8% totaling 19 \$12,080.00 were not supported with vendor's invoices. Nine of the vouchers tested or 20 36% totaling \$110,682.00 were approved for payment between two to 282 days late. 21 Two of the vouchers tested or 8% totaling \$29,311.00 were paid 22 late and the Board did not pay interest owed to the vendors totaling \$1,736.00. During 23 our testing of 30 awards and grants vouchers totaling \$1,483,042.00 for fiscal year '22, 24 we noted one of the vouchers tested or 3% totaling \$23,959.00 had no approval form 25 the Board head or designee. Four of the vouchers tested or 13% totaling \$305,149.00 26 were approved for payment between 34 and 111 days late. For testing FY23, we were 27 able to rely on the processing integrity of the ERP system; therefore, we were able to 28

limit our voucher testing at the Board to determine whether certain key attributes were

29

properly entered by the Board staff into the REP. In order to determine the operating effectiveness of the Board's internal controls related to voucher processing and subsequent payment of interest, we selected a sample of key attributes to determine they were properly entered into the REP system based on supporting documentation.

The attributes selected were vendor information, expenditure amount, objects of expenditure, and the later of the receipt date of the proper bill or receipt date of the goods and/or services. We noted 14 of 140 general vouchers or 10% and five of 120 awards and grants vouchers or 4% for those items the key attributes were improperly entered in the ERP system; therefore, the Board's internal controls over voucher processing were not operating effectively. Due to this condition, we qualified our opinion because we determined the Board had not complied in all material respects with applicable laws and regulations including the state uniform accounting system in its financial and fiscal operations.

Even given limitations noted, we conducted an analysis of the Board's expenditure data for fiscal year '23 and noted the following noncompliance: The Board owed five vendors interest totaling \$1,009.00 in fiscal year '23 but has not approved these vouchers for payment to the vendors. And the Board did not timely approve 295 of 327 or 90% of the vouchers processed during the examination period totaling \$10,350,037.00. We noted these late vouchers were approved between 1 and 324 days late.

We recommended the Board design and maintain internal controls to provide assurance its data and trade of key attributes into ERP is complete and accurate. Further, we recommended the Board approve proper bills within 30 days of receipt, approve vouchers for payment of interest due to vendors, and ensure interest due is paid. The Board agreed with the finding and stated it has obtained additional training and hired additional staff to ensure vouchers are processed properly in the state ERP system.

In finding 2, we found the Board's internal controls over its receipt processing function were not operating effectively during the examination period. For

fiscal '22 testing, we noted 1 of 4 refund receipts tested totaling \$15,000.00 did not have the date when the receipt was received by the Board. As such, we were unable to determine if the Board deposited the receipts in a timely manner. As with finding 1, we were able to rely on the ERP system and limit our receipt testing at the Board to determine whether certain key attributes were properly entered into the ERP system. We selected a sample of key attributes to determine if they were properly neutered into the system based on supporting documentation. The attributes tested were amount, the funds being deposited into, date of receipt, date deposited, and SAMS source code. During our testing of 28 receipts during the year, we noted 79 of 140 or 56% of 

During our testing of 28 receipts during the year, we noted 79 of 140 or 56% of attributes were not properly entered in the REP system; therefore, the Board's internal controls over receipt processing were not operating effectively.

In addition, during our testing of 20 refund receipts during the year, we noted 32 of 80 or 40% of attributes tested were not properly entered into the ERP system; therefore, the Board's internal controls over receipt processing were not operating effectively. Due to this condition, we qualified our opinion because the Board had not compiled in all material respects with applicable laws and regulations including the state's uniform accounting system in its financial and fiscal operations. Even given these limitations, we conducted an analysis of the Board's receipt data for fiscal year '23 and noted the Board's receipt data did not document the date on which the payment was received for 1,180 of 1,203 or 98% of receipts tested. As such, we were unable to determine if the Board deposited the receipts in a timely manner. We recommended the Board design and maintain internal controls to provide assurance that its data entry of key attributes into the ERP system is complete and accurate. The Board agreed with the finding and stated it has obtained additional training to ensure transactions are processed properly.

This concludes our opening remarks on the compliance examination for the two years ended June 30th, 2023 for the Illinois Board of higher Education.

**Crespo:** Thank you to the Auditor General and the Staff. Director, please introduce those at the table with you and then you can start with your opening remarks.

Ostro: Thank you very much. I'm Ginger Ostro, Executive Director of the Illinois Board of Higher Education. I'm joined by Dave Kelm, our Chief Operating Officer and general counsel. Obviously, there's some serious and significant findings in this last audit, and I'd like to share with you a little bit about the systems and structural changes we've made to address this so these do not recur. As the agency had taken on more responsibilities during this timeframe, we realized that we needed not just to address the specific findings but to address significant restructuring in the agency providing additional leadership over these areas as well as staff level support.

First, we created a Chief Financial Officer position, separate from our higher education budgeting and reporting responsibilities. They had been combined during this period. We had a CFO for two years and then we had turnover. We have just recently hired a new Chief Financial Officer. For the finance staff, we increased during this time period we only had two staff in the finance area responsible for all of these functions. We now have 6.5 including two and a half FTEs focused specifically on the budget and grants financial reporting responsibilities, two assistant chief accountants for reporting ensuring we're compliant with the GATA requirements, reconciliations, GAAP reporting, asset inventory and reporting. We've added an accountant, one to assist in voucher processing. Additionally, we've divided up the job responsibilities so that they are much clearer and there aren't so many responsibilities put on any one position that will help increase timeliness and accuracy and what we've seen to date.

Also, during this audit timeframe, we were implementing the state's SAP accounting system. So, for part of fiscal '22, the end part of fiscal '22, we were working on implementation, again, with two employees. As SAP was being implemented, they told the staff they had to dedicate at least 40% of their time from the period. I think we started February 1st until July 1, so we were implementing SAP along with managing all of our financial responsibilities.

As we began fiscal '23 and many of the data entry attributes were part of the learning process for the staff as we were staffing up to implement SAP. So, we believe that now with the additional training, additional experience, implementation of SAP and the additional staff and new divisions of responsibilities that we will have made progress in these accounting areas.

In addition, we have taken steps to address all the other systemic findings. Our new CFO initiated a full internal review and responses to all of the items in the audit and are providing an update. We identified a number of changes including implementing a new master reporting tracking document so that we have a list of all of our statutory mandated reports, and we have the dates that they are due. Our CFO now sends out a report to all staff for the upcoming two months so we know what reports are due and expected to address the timeliness of reports.

We've updated several travel related procedures to ensure that we have appropriate and timely travel requests. For example, we have a new travel inbox so that as employees request travel, on an approval of their supervisors, that is also documented through the email inbox so that our finance staff know that the travel has been approved and have timing to track when vouchers should be turned in.

We engaged CROW through the state's master agreement to assist, and this was several years ago, to assist with our GAAP reporting and to ensure that our documents are accurate. The asset inventory process has been clarified and the documents reconciled. We have a new person responsible for the asset inventory tracking, and we will begin to use the SAP asset module which is available for that purpose. We've implemented new HR procedures to ensure timely workforce reporting, employee training, and onboarding. We are currently implementing and finalizing the new evaluation instrument that's been identified, and IBHE implemented a new employee handbook, which each employee has had to sign and it outlines procedures for time travel and other core responsibilities.

Our IT department has been working to create and implement procedures and controls that comply with all the required standards. And finally, IBHE

and grantee communication has been greatly enhanced with reminders of reporting 1 requirements and deadlines, and regular engagement with our grantees. A grants 2 3 management procedure manual is underway and will be completed during fiscal '25. So, I hope you can see that we have taken the findings in this audit extremely seriously and 4 have taken significant steps to address them and to ensure that the agency is in 5 compliance with all requirements going forward. Thank you for the opportunity to share 6 this information with you, and we're happy to answer any questions. 7 Crespo: 8 Thank you Director for your thorough opening statement and with that we open it for questions from our commission members. Representative Elik. 9 Elik: Good morning, I might work a little backwards here but I know I had 10 some questions about some individual findings. Finding 15 found that 87% of employee 11 timesheets tested were completed 2 to 167 days late. How is it possible to complete a 12 13 timesheet 167 days late and still get paid? How does that occur? Ostro: Yes, we clearly had an employee that was not in compliance with 14 the time reporting. We have added the requirement that employees complete their 15 timesheets weekly to the employee handbook, which they have to sign and certify. I'll let 16 17 Dave speak to what would happen if somebody then doesn't complete the timesheets and managers are held responsible and are told that they need to review and approve 18 them weekly. Dave. 19 Kelm: 20 Thank you for the question, Representative. We have updated as the Director said, our employee responsibilities and . . . 21 22 Crespo: Dave? Dave, can you please state your name for the record? Kelm: Of course. I'm sorry, Mr., Chairman. Dave Kelm, K-E-L-M. I'm the 23 COO and general counsel for the Illinois Board of Higher Education. Again, 24 25 Representative, we have updated our employee handbook to identify the responsibilities that those employees as well as their supervisors are responsible for. It's my 26 understanding that before I arrived at the agency and before our new HR Director 27 arrived at the agency, that those core responsibilities had not been properly 28

communicated to everybody. And so, at this time, for the last 18 months approximately, 1 we have been vigilant in communicating those responsibilities to our employees and our 2 3 managerial staff. Our HR Director communicates on a very regular basis about their travel requirements and their time keeping requirements, and updates those employees 4 that may for a slip of the mind or maybe they were traveling and they have forgotten to 5 submit their time, she's vigilant in making sure that they do that so that we are in 6 compliance. 7 8 Elik: So, if I'm assuming these are salaried employees whose paycheck doesn't change from week-to-week then? Is that correct? 9 Kelm: That's correct. 10 11 Elik: I mean in my office, my employees make sure they submit their timesheets to me immediately on the last day of the pay period or else I guess they 12 13 wouldn't get paid, but they're continuing to get paid even though they haven't submitted a timesheet it seems like during this time. Is that correct? 14 Kelm: 15 That's correct. 16 Elik: Okay and that is something that will not repeat going forward? Kelm: I assure you that our attempt is to not have that repeat. 17 Elik: Thank you. Then I think just a general question related to the 18 19 issues with entering attributes into the ERP system both on receipts and on vouchers. Was there a lack of training involved there or was it a lack of will? What was really the 20 reason for continued failure to enter the correct information to the system? 21 Ostro: I think it was lack of training and expectations on employees in this 22 case. Again, I mentioned we only had two that were just excessive, that we had too 23 many things that we were expecting an employee to do while standing up a new 24 accounting system. As I've mentioned, we added employees, divided up that 25 responsibility, provided additional training, and we believe that our information is under 26 and SAP is now current and accurate. 27

1	Elik:	Okay, thanks. I guess then you mentioned training of employees	
2	and then turnover b	peing a main issue during this time period related to either task not	
3	being done to being	g done well like you said. Now you also mentioned that you've hired	
4	some additional sta	aff to help balance the workload and since you've added those staff,	
5	is your turnover iss	ue still continuing?	
c	Ostro:	We have not seen as significant a turnover as we did at the time,	
6 7		-	
8	particularly in late 2021. We saw long standing employees that had been at the agency		
9	for decades retire, and as we bought on new employees at that time, we had that gap		
10	period there so that would be kind of early in fiscal 2022. Since then, as we've brought		
10	on employees, we've had some turnover but the majority has been stable, particularly in		
12	areas of grants management, our accounting reporting, and some of the new positions.  And we've united to expand and add additional staff.		
12	And we ve united to	o expand and additional stan.	
13	Elik:	What is your overall headcount for your department?	
1.4	Ootro	Dardon mo?	
14	Ostro:	Pardon me?	
15	Elik:	What's your overall headcount?	
	0.1	NA	
16	Ostro:	We currently have about, I would say, I think it's 45 employees'	
17	total.		
18	Elik:	Okay, thank you. That gives me some idea of how much we're	
19	talking about that is	s related to finance.	
20	Kelm:	Do you mind if I clarify real quickly. At the beginning of this audit	
21	period, we were at 32. So, we have beefed up to actually, as of today, not to correct the		
22	Director, but we're at 48.5.		
23	Elik:	Thank you. Thanks, that helps a lot. I think I'll just leave it at that.	
24	Thank you.		
25	Crespo:	Just a follow up. In terms of employees, what are you budget for?	

1	Ostro:	Our authorized head count is 60 and we are in the process of
2	additional hiring and we have been for the last year.	
3	Crespo:	So you're at 60 and how many current employees do you have?
4	Kelm:	We are at 48.5 currently, Mr. Chairman.
5	Crespo:	Okay, thank you. Senator Rose for questions?
6	Rose:	I have one quick one for the auditor and then I'm going to follow up
7	with the agency. The \$23,959.00 finding that did not have approval before it was paid	
8	under the awards and vouchers section, what was that for? What was the \$23,000.00	
9	for? It's finding 01.	
10	Green:	Hi, this is Megan Greene with the Office of Auditor General. I'm the
11	statewide single audit manager. We don't have the exact specific vendor that it was for,	
12	but it was an award	s and grants voucher. So, I'm going to assume that it was a payment
13	to a college under the 4400-appropriation line item for award and grant.	
14	Rose:	Okay, I guess that's the question then. Do we know that it was
15	actually an authorize	ed expenditure even if it didn't have the authorization?
16	Courtney:	We are happy to pull some additional details and provide those to
17	you after the meetin	g.
18	Rose:	Thank you. To the agency, let's take a step back. I believe in your
19	tenure you've come	from the Chicago City Finance Office. You're Assistant Director of
20	GOMB. You are the	Director of GOMB. You were Interim President or maybe even
21	President of Chicago State for some short period of time and now you're here. Yet	
22	we've gone from three audits to 11 audits to 17 findings. What's going on? And don't tell	
23	me you didn't know.	
24	Ostro:	During the timeframe and included in this audit, the agency has
25	gone through significant changes and as we had staff turnover during the pandemic, as	
26	we saw long standir	ng employees leave, we had responsibilities on too few staff that we

have now changed and addressed and we were expanding and talking on more 1 responsibilities and growing our staff at the same time. I think we saw significant 2 3 challenges in that. We recognize that and that's why we provided and have changed the leadership structure of the agency and the staffing structure and the finance department 4 have added a new experienced CFO to ensure that these findings do not recur. This is 5 something we take extremely seriously and have made significant changes to address 6 these findings. 7 8 Rose: So since 19, FY19, which would include the pandemic you just referred to, we show you've lost three staff members. Is that the significant turnover you 9 10 were just referencing? Ostro: Let me check, we've had, I believe we had 12 staff members leave 11 the agency, but are happy to provide additional information on staff turnover. 12 13 Rose: I just don't . . . usually when we see this, we see people trying to make improvements over time and we ask tough questions, but then we see you're 14 going the right direction and we back off. You being former Director of GOMB know 15 darn well this is the wrong progression, and whatever the numbers on the staff turnover, 16 17 like this is not a good look. And you're asking the state right now . . . well I guess you'll say the legislature's contemplating, but you've led a multi-year effort that would allow . . 18 . that culminates in legislation this spring, to hand you guys essentially a very large 19 check and trust you to get the dollars out the door to all of four-year institutions. Yet 20 21 we're 87/90% what the figure was of bills that weren't paid timely. So why should the institutions we represent believe that you can accomplish this task? 22 23 Ostro: We've made significant changes in our leadership structure to 24 ensure that we have proper oversight in each of the different areas. We have added additional staffing with dedicated staff to ensure that our grants are properly 25 26 administered from both a financial and a programmatic aspect to make sure that we are completing the institutions and agencies who receive our funding are completing reports 27 28 timely. We've added staff in our fiscal area to make sure that from the fiscal perspective the grants are being handled well, that we have divided up responsibilities to make sure 29

that our voucher processing is timely. As we look at most recent information, our aging 1 report shows that our vouchers are being paid on average at 12 and a half days. As 2 3 we've looked at our recent debt transparency act reports, which are submitted monthly, we don't see vouchers being carried over from month-to-month, which means they're 4 being paid within the timeframe that is required unless there is some particular issue. 5 So, we have seen evidence and with the changes that we have made that our 6 processes have been improved and that we are complying with the statutory 7 requirements. 8 Rose: Do you know what the \$23,952.00 voucher was that I asked the 9 auditor about it at the opening? 10 Ostro: I don't. I don't have that information with me, but again, we can find 11 out. 12 Dave: If I may Senator, our CFO has asked for that specific information 13 from his team and also from the Auditor General's Office, and we'll get that for you. 14 15 Rose: Okay. I'm not going to belabor the point, but this is just, I mean right track, wrong track. This is so wrong track it's off the charts and frankly I hear what 16 you're saying now, but we've got a six-year trim line moving in the wrong direction. A 17 five-year trim line moving in the wrong direction and is the next audit going to have this 18 19 many finding? 20 Ostro: We don't anticipate that it will. We believe we've made the structural changes, put in place the personnel, changed job duties and responsibilities, 21 22 tightened up what our expectations are of employees that these issues that we\re 23 seeing here will not repeat. Rose: To the auditor, I know you expressed a modified opinion. I just want 24 25 to be clear there's nothing in your opinion that would suggest potential malfeasance, right? It's just sort of negligence? 26

Courtney: We did issue a modified opinion on compliance. We did not identify 1 any issues that you indicated. 2 Rose: Negligence isn't good. Okay, thank you. 3 Crespo: By the way, if you are submitting information to the Senator, please 4 send that to Jaimee Ray and we'll make sure that he gets it and the rest of the members 5 will get a copy as well. I have some follow up questions on the issues you brought up. 6 Senator Wilcox for questions. 7 Wilcox: Yes, this question I guess is kind of related to audit issue #2, but 8 during last year, your submitted ISLs for salary projections were included there. There 9 10 were a number that were raised from FY24. That's not the concern. The question is 11 when you came before approps and submitted your ISLs this year, half of the 10 highest paid employees had substantial increases in salary compared to what you submitted 12 last year for the appropriations ranging from 5% to 14% increases. Could you address 13 what went on in FY25 and what those salary increases were for? 14 15 Ostro: Yes, it's related to two different areas that we were trying to correct in fiscal 25. First, we did not provide the same level of increases as was ultimately 16 negotiated for other state agencies in fiscal '24. So, part of our adjustments in fiscal '25 17 are kind of a catch up to ensure that we're treating our employees similarly to 18 employees across the state government. Secondly, actually there were three issues. 19 Secondly, we made a number of staffing adjustments in our leadership positions as I 20 mentioned, which lead to promotional increases and third, part of our leaf around 21 turnover was because we did not have competitive salaries, and so we made 22 23 adjustments to our overall salary structure to bring it more in line with what we saw 24 elsewhere in state government. All that happened in fiscal '25. Wilcox: Thank you. 25 Crespo: So, it has been my experience that often times when we see many 26 findings, it has to do with the fact that agencies are not adequately staffed, right. Let me 27

go back to your 60 budgeted headcount and I believe you said you're at 48.5, correct? 1 Number one, do you have to go through CMS to hire your employees? 2 Ostro: We do not, no. We're under the state university civil service system. 3 Crespo: So, you're off around 12 employees. What was it last year? Was it 4 5 the same gap, around 12? Ostro: I think we might have to look that up. If you could give us just a 6 7 moment. Dave: Mr. Chairman, at the end of FY23, we were at 42 and I believe at 8 the end of FY24, we were at 45. 9 Crespo: So, if you follow that trend . . . 10 Dave: We're creeping higher. 11 Crespo: So, Director, I heard you say that there were some salary 12 adjustments. Were these salary adjustments approved by the general assembly? Were 13 they part of your budget request or did she do it midstream during the fiscal year before 14 the appropriations? 15 Ostro: The funding for them was included in our budget request and we 16 17 included it in our narrative explaining that we were doing salary adjustments, as well as adding staff in fiscal '25. 18 19 Crespo: Okay and I've heard you say twice today that the agency has taken on more responsibilities. What are they and why? Were these based on legislative 20 initiatives or something they did internally? I'm trying to understand if you're not fully 21 staffed, why would you take on full responsibilities? 22 Ostro: Thank you. I think it was a combination of several things. One, 23 24 additional federal COVID relief dollars were provided and the agency administered the GEER funds, the Governor's Emergency Education Relief dollars, along with other 25

1	education agencies	s. The Early Childhood Access Consortium for Equity was funded
2	with significant fede	eral dollars and the Illinois Tutoring Initiative, along the same lines. In
3	addition, the IBHE is administering the Mental Health Early Action on Campus Program,	
4	the Benefits Navigator Program, which the general assembly established, so we're	
5	coordinating the na	vigators that are at each of the public universities to make sure that
6	students have acce	ess to meeting their basic student needs. New requirements under
7	the housing act, the house lesions. Each constitution designates a lesion to help	
8	students access housing and students are housing insecure and food insecure. We	
9	received a grant from the general assembly to administer to the universities to provide	
10	support to institutions to implement that. The common apps are areas that we've all	
11	invested in. We hav	ve added, we have obviously had an increase in our headcount and
12	support to increase	our staffing and that's why you've seen our staffing increase over
13	time, and has conti	nued to increase in this fiscal year.
14	Crespo:	So, the agency created some programs using COVID dollars?
15	Ostro:	The program was specified. The agency was responsible for
16	administering them	. Those dollars, obviously, have expired at this point.
17	Crespo:	So once those dollars are gone, what happens to those programs?
18	Ostro:	Those programs have ended. The federally funded programs have
19	ended.	
20	Crespo:	Okay, any other questions from the commission? A follow up,
21	Senator Rose.	
22	Rose:	For the Auditor. Were there any other instances of, of payments not
23	being authorized th	at were made?
	_	
24	Courtney:	We are not aware of any.
25	Rose:	Okay, Director, you have been around too long to make mistakes.
26	So that's why I just	do not know where this is all headed. Give me that information if you
		•

would and one last question for the Director; you said something about your grant staff 1 turnover. Can you delineate that a little bit in further detail? 2 Ostro: 3 It was the fiscal staff that I was referring to. We have added grants 4 management staff to support both the fiscal and programmatic side. So, we had some long-standing employees of the Agency who have left and retired just at the same time 5 6 that these new grants were coming online. Rose: Okay, thank you. 7 Crespo: Okay, seeing no more questions. Senator Villanueva moves to 8 accept the Compliance Audit of the Illinois Board of Higher Education seconded by 9 10 Representative Manley, all in favor say aye; opposed say nay. The ayes have it and the 11 audits are accepted. Thank you, Director for joining us today. Okay, next up we have the Illinois Community College Board. Director Durham, if you and your staff would take 12 the seats at the table. And with that, Auditor General Mautino. 13 Mautino: Thank you Mr. Chairman. Next audit is of the Illinois Community 14 15 College Board. It's the Compliance Examination for the two years ended June 30, 2023. It was conducted by the firm of Adelfia LLC. Gilda Priebe is here on behalf of the firm. 16 The audit manager for the engagement was Lisa Warden. Courtney Dzierwa, our 17 Financial and Compliance Division Director, will discuss the examination. 18 Courtney: There were 12 total findings in this compliance examination. I will 19 20 summarize a few. In Finding 1, we found the Board did not exercise adequate controls 21 22 over initiating and monitoring grant agreements. We tested 60 grant agreements from 24 of the Board's FY22 and FY23 active grant programs and noted the following 23 weaknesses: For 53 of 60 grant agreements tested or 80%, the agreements were 24 signed between 2 and 446 days late; for 2 of 24 grant programs tested or 8%, the 25 reports did not contain the certification required by the grant agreement. For 20 of 24 26 grant programs tested, we noted: Grantees did not provide required documentation, 27 required reporting did not meet the time frames and/or specifications established in the 28

grant agreement, or documentation was not retained by the Board to demonstrate that all required documentation was not both received and met all requirements.

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

We recommended the Board implement and enforce internal controls to ensure all reporting requirements adhered to, and ensure any grant application and budget deadlines, or agreement start dates allow for sufficient time for all parties to approve the grant agreements prior to their effective dates. The Board concurred with our finding and stated they are transitioning report and budget monitoring to program compliance staff and will be providing additional training. They also stated they would ensure future grant agreements are drafted with language authorizing pre-award costs if applicable.

In Finding 3, we noted the Board did not maintain adequate controls over monthly reconciliations. The Board expended over \$400 million from 10 funds and collected over \$40 million from 7 funds during Fiscal Years '22 and '23. During testing of the Board's monthly reconciliations of their internal records to Office of Comptroller records, we noted: 28 of 28 or 100% of the reconciliations to the Obligation Activity Report or the Agency Contract Report were not initialed and dated. As a result, we were unable to determine whether the reconciliation was performed timely. 10 of 24 or 42% of the reconciliations to the Revenue Status Report (SB04) were not initialed and dated. As a result, we were unable to determine whether the reconciliation was timely performed. 2 of 24 or 8% of the reconciliations to the SB04 were not performed in Fiscal Year 2023. 5 of 24 or 21% of the reconciliations to the SB04 were performed 25 to 148 days late. 28 of 28 or 100% of the reconciliations to the Appropriations Status Report were not initialed and dated. As a result, we were unable to determine whether the reconciliation was timely performed. 24 of 24, 100% of the reconciliations to the Cash Report were not initialed and dated. As a result, we were unable to determine whether the reconciliation was timely performed.

We recommended the Board allocate resources and implement controls to ensure all required monthly reconciliations are performed, documented, and reviewed timely. The Board concurred without finding and stated the reconciliations

have been assigned to a new staff member, and training has been provided. Board 1 management also responded they worked with ERP team to implement all 2 3 reconciliations in the ERP's analytic and reporting platform to ensure reconciliations are reviewed and documented timely. 4 This concludes our opening remarks on the Compliance 5 6 Examination for the two years ended June 30, 2023, for the Illinois Community College Board. 7 8 Crespo: Thanks to the Auditor General and his staff. Director, please introduce those at the table with you and you can start with your opening statement. 9 10 Durham: Thank you good morning. I am Brian Durham, Executive Director of 11 the Community College Board and thank you for the opportunity to speak with you today. I am joined here by our Chief of Staff, Matt Berry. By our HR Director, Brianna 12 Dennis, and our Senior Director Information Technology, Jerry Follis. Unfortunately, our 13 Chief Financial Officer is unable to join us due to illness, but we will do our best to 14 channel her expertise as we work through this. 15 As you know, ICCB is the coordinating Board for the state's 45 16 community colleges, which collectively serves over 600,000 students annually. The 17 Board has statutory responsibility for administering State and Federal grants to 18 19 Community College Districts, Adult Ed providers, and Community Based Organizations. Approving and reviewing programs, Compliance of Colleges through our recognition 20 process. Collecting and submitting data for State and Federal reporting and managing 21 High School Equivalency Testing for Illinois, among other responsibilities. In anticipation 22 23 of your questions and to provide a little bit of context, there are a couple of areas that I 24 would also like to note as well. In FY24, the Board administered 900 grants totaling \$547 million. This level of grant activity is on par with many other State agencies much 25 26 larger than the ICCB. The Board ended FY23 with a headcount of just 55, which rose to 27 28 64 at the end of FY24 and remains at that level today. Thus, we administer these grants

with a very small staff. I also wanted to speak to our limited audit during FY21. The 1 2 ICCB did suffer a server failure, which resulted in the loss of its computerized records 3 for the year ending June 30, 2021. Specifically in July 2021, the Agency experienced a major data loss event following a planned power outage for nearby electrical work. This 4 led to the permanent loss of important Board data despite successful restoration of the 5 organizations database and other key applications. Thus, the Boards IT environment 6 applications data did not exist for the accountant to do a full audit exam for '21 and '22. 7 And as such, the auditors conducted a limited scope audit for '21 that described the 8 scope of the IT failure and loss of electronic records. Since then, the Agency has 9 invested in fully modernizing its IT infrastructure. Upgrading servers, software, and 10 implementing enhanced backup systems including cloud redundancy. 11 12 With this investment, the Board has completed successful disaster recovery testing and is now prepared to handle future disruptions. The most recent audit 13 covering '23 and '24 marks the return of the standard two-year audit cycle. The Board 14 has made implementation of corrective action to resolve the 12 findings from this audit a 15 16 priority. As I come before you today, we have completed corrective action for each 17 finding. With additional hiring, enhanced IT systems and procedures that I mentioned, staff training and robust documentation. We do not anticipate repeat findings beyond 18 this. Thank you, I will end there. We will be happy to answer any questions. 19 Crespo: Thank you director, questions from the commission. But before we 20 move on, you mentioned IT work. Does your Agency work with DoIT? 21 Durham: We do work, we do some services with DoIT, but not being a code 22 Agency, we are not fully integrated to the DoIT system so we have our email system, 23 some of our systems do with them. But we actually worked with separate vendors when 24 we had this server failure and had to go outside of DoIT to get all that done. Appreciate 25 the General Assemblies support when we needed funding to do that. 26 Crespo: And in terms of your grants are they governed by GATA? 27

**Durham:** They are governed by GATA except for the Community College 1 grants. Is that correct? 2 Berry: And University. 3 **Durham:** And University grants, which are GATA exempt. But so, as we do 4 Adult Ed providers, for example, if we work with a provider that is a CBO, they would be 5 covered by GATA. 6 Crespo: Thank you, questions from the commission. Representative Elik. 7 Elik: Thank you, I have read the whole thing but I cannot get past 8 number 1, finding number 1 that the auditors explained and that there was such a high 9 level of mismanagement of so many grant programs. I guess what I would say my 10 conclusion is, is that you could not really be sure that the grantees spent the money 11 appropriately or spent it at all. Is that a fair assessment? 12 **Durham:** Well, I do not think so. I think we have a pretty good handle on what 13 the grantees are doing. I think we have some procedural challenges that we have 14 implemented a number of things to make sure we have better controls. So, for example, 15 16 we hired new staff positions, that their positions are specifically around program compliance. So that is all they do. Things are funneled through these folks and they look 17 18 at that and do budget modifications and make sure the funds are being spent appropriately. 19 20 Prior to that, we have a programmatic side of the house for grants and then a fiscal. The programmatic side would monitor things and then funnel it 21 22 through fiscal and we have brought those together with program compliance positions. 23 We have also implemented an executive level grants committee where every grant now has to pass through that committee for a final review before it goes on out into the 24 system. We have some procedural challenges, certainly. But I do think we have been 25 good stewards of the money overall and we are very connected to all of our grantees 26 both college and non-college providers. 27

Elik: So, I guess though, even though those changes have been made 1 for now and going forward. I mean we have a time period here. This is a new finding for 2 3 this time period of the audit so I can presume that in the past you must have had adequate oversight of the grants. Something happened during this audit period where 4 the bottom dropped out and there was a huge degree of non-compliance. So, I guess, 5 what happened? 6 Berry: Technically this is a new finding but this is the problem. 7 Crespo: Can you please state your name for the record. 8 Berry: Oh, excuse me. Matt Berry, Chief of Staff for the ICCB. Technically 9 10 this is a new finding but it is an area that we have struggled with over the last several 11 years and have been consistently improving upon. We actually had this finding in our 2019/2020 audit because as Director Durham explained we had a limited scope audit. 12 There was basically only one finding, that was our IT issue and they were unable to test 13 anything else. 14 15 Elik: Okay. 16 Berry: So, these 12 findings all appear as new findings. That does not necessarily mean before 2021. We might have been working on improving and 17 18 correcting on those issues. The improvements and the struggles in the grants area has really been a function of the significant increase in grant making activity we have had 19 20 over the last five years and ramping up our staffing levels and our systems to accommodate that significant increase in grant making activity that we have 21 22 experienced as an Agency. As Brian explained, with our hiring of compliance staff and 23 additional training and work we have done as well as we are now implementing the 24 AmpliFund Grant Management System, which will make a lot of this effort electronic. We believe that these will improve the situation for the next audit period. 25 **Durham:** Just to add one more piece to that and to give an idea. Over the 26 last several years, we have basically tripled the number of grants that we administer. 27 So, as we have done that, we have had lots of staff turnover in that context as well. I 28

would venture to say we have had close to 60/70% staff turnover overall including the 1 entire grants management unit turned over in the midst of that also. So, there is a 2 3 training aspect and an institutional knowledge piece here that is involved. I mean one of the other steps that we have taken, and I know that recruitment and retention shows up 4 a number of times, is we have hired a dedicated HR Director to coordinate and make 5 sure that these trainings are taking place. 6 7 We have not had an HR Director, a dedicated position in the history, I have been here for 20 years, in the history of the Agency, to make sure that 8 folks are getting that training, following through on that training; supervisors are held 9 10 accountable for ensuring their folks get training so that we centralize those functions as well. 11 Elik: So, what do you think is the key reason for all the turnover during 12 13 this time period? **Durham:** Well, I think we have challenges remaining competitive. I mean as 14 you look across salaries of code agencies compared to salaries of our Board and for 15 that matter salaries of our sister agencies. We are on the lower end of those and so 16 17 folks come in, they spend time and then they go on to other agencies and move on to other positions. We have been doing things at the Board and with the leadership of our 18 Board, which includes base adjustments, which has included cost of living increases, 19 which has included evaluating specific positions and what those positions ought to 20 21 make in doing salary studies and that sort of thing. But that has been, I mean largely, that challenge continues. I mean it has slowed down, I think generally as a market, as 22 the market has slowed down. But it is a continuing challenge and has been for many, 23 many years. 24 Elik: Okay, so turn to a different question, to your Board vacancies. I 25 understand that is not under your control but what is your current status of vacancies on 26 your Board? 27

**Durham:** Our Board is fully staffed. We have a full Board at this moment. We 1 have no board members that I recall are serving on expired terms. Everybody is still 2 3 within their current term right now. 4 Elik: That is shocking right there. That is good news. **Durham:** Yeah, appreciate it. 5 Elik: Thank you. That is all I have. 6 7 Crespo: Sen. Wilcox. Wilcox: Thank you, in light of the questions you were just kind of answering. 8 I am going to bring up, similar to what I mentioned to the Board of Higher Ed. Your 9 FY26 Budget documents showed no salary increases, in fact going back to FY21, you 10 have never projected salary increases, yet you just admitted the need to do that. What 11 12 is going on? Are we hiding salary increases elsewhere in the budget? Are you scared to let the legislator know in your budget request that there are going to be salary 13 increases? Or are they primarily coming when someone has left and you are 14 reassessing the position? 15 **Durham:** Yeah, sure, and please help me on this if I need it Matt. So, our 16 Board will not authorize salary increases until after the budget is passed so the Board 17 votes in June typically, after the budget. So, we do not include those in our projections 18 because we do not know if the Board is going to authorize salary increases. You go 19 20 back historically many years where the Board did not authorize salary increases. And not being a code Agency, we have to rely on what the Board says. We do build in some 21 funding, like administrative costs and that sort of thing across various grant programs to 22 23 make sure we have those funds if it happens. But ultimately, that is what it comes down to. And I do not know if you want to add anything to that. 24 25 Berry: No, I would just say, our appropriation levels in our personnel service lines have gone up and that has been reflected in our ISLs. But, for example, 26 like on the top 10 employees question where it asks for salaries for FY26. To Brian's 27

point, we do not project salary increases because we do not know what, if at all, the 1 Board would authorize for salary increases and we do not want to show a particular 2 3 salary increase for an employee when we do not know what that salary increase ultimately will be for that individual employee. So, we do not project for individual 4 employees in advance of that being approved by the Board. 5 Wilcox: 6 And I do not sit on approps anymore. Is that explicitly discussed when you are presenting in the Appropriations Committee? 7 8 Durham: Yes, we have answered that question and talked with them about that, yes. 9 Wilcox: 10 Okay, thank you. Crespo: Senator Rose. 11 Rose: 12 Briefly, as to Representative Elik's point. The first part of this is pretty bad. In yes or no. Are any of these discrepancies' problematic in the sense like 13 we do not know where the money went and we think that somebody walked off with it? 14 **Durham:** So, are you asking me or the auditor? 15 Rose: I am asking you right now. 16 17 **Durham:** Okay. I am certain no one has walked off with the money. I think this is a matter of procedural challenges. And . . . 18 19 Rose: Was it all used for the purpose it was supposed to be used? 20 Durham: It was all used for the purposes it was supposed to be used. And we have strong connections to all of our programs with our program folks that are in 21 22 programs that are working directly with these providers. That followed these trails and 23 now exactly what they are doing with the dollars. What we lacked were some of the 24 procedural elements that we needed and we are working to put those in place. 25 Rose: Okay, and auditors do you agree with that?

Courtney: What they are lacking is documentation. We are not aware of any 1 situations where money has disappeared or been used inappropriately. But the 2 3 documentation could be improved. 4 Rose: Okay, thank you. 5 Crespo: Seeing there are no more questions Representative Manley moves to accept the Compliance Audit of the Illinois Community College Board. Seconded by 6 Senator Ellman. All in favor say aye, opposed say nay. The ayes have it and the Audits 7 are accepted. Thank you, Director for joining us today. Next, we have Resolution 168, 8 9 which is being introduced by Co-Chair Rose. Senator Rose. 10 Rose: Thank you Mr. Chair. This Audit Request stems from a Chicago 11 Sun-Times article earlier this year noting that the State of Illinois Taxpayers have lost \$30 million so far on the University of Illinois Discovery Partners Institute. This was the 12 scuttled South Loop Project. According to the Sun-Times, it says we are out \$30 million 13 14 to the Taxpayers. I would note in the 7/8 years that this thing has been around it has had multiple changes in scope. Multiple shifts in what it was supposed to be and where 15 it is supposed to be and what it is supposed to be doing. So, I think it has passed the 16 17 point in time that we figure out what is actually going on with Discovery Partners. So, I am asking that we authorize the Auditor General to look into this please, thank you. 18 19 Crespo: Thank you Senator Rose. Any questions on the Resolution? Okay if 20 there is no objection on a motion made by Representative Meier, seconded by Representative Wilcox to accept LAC Resolution 168 all in favor say aye, all opposed 21 say nay. The ayes have it and the Resolution is hereby adopted. 22 Rose: Thank you everyone. 23 Crespo: Turning now to our consent calendar. Appearing today are 51 24 25 Reports that do not appear to require the presence of Agency officials. I would like to note that this list as well as the ones in upcoming meetings would be very robust in 26 order to address the backlog that we have reminding folks that we have not met in 27 almost a year. Members this in no way should be construed as us condoning the 28

findings but fulfilling our responsibility to act on the Audits release by the Auditor

General. The LAC staff is reviewing the outstanding audits on the same Agency and

noting repeated findings so that the older audits may be added to the consent calendar.

With that said if there is no objection from a motion made by Representative Manley

seconded by Senator Rose, to accept the consent calendar all in favor say aye,

opposed say nay. The ayes have it and the consent calendar is accepted.

We have 13 Financial Statements for the Audit Commission from May 2024 until April 2025. If there is, no objection from a motion made by Senator Rose and seconded by Representative Manley to accept the Financial Statements all in favor say aye, opposed say nay. The ayes have it and the Financial Statements are accepted. We have acknowledged receipt of two items and 7 Reports, which do not require Commission action. The Auditor General's Quarterly for the 4th quarter of FY24 and the first three quarters of FY25, the Report on Emergency Purchases for the 4th quarter of FY24 and the first two quarters of FY25. Does anyone have any questions? Representative Elik.

Rep Elik: Thank you Chair Crespo, I do have some questions that I do want to get on the record regarding Emergency Purchases. I find some troubling items in here that I think we probably ought to get some answers from the different agencies. So, if I could just mention those to get those, like I said, to get them on the record. We have an emergency purchase for the first fiscal quarter of fiscal year 2024, well July 1, 2024 through September 20, 2024. There is a request for an emergency purchase for DCEO \$43,800,000. It says the marketing and advertising programs encompass domestic and international tourism, scope of work will include creative planning and production, media planning and buying, production research, website management, event participation, and sponsorship. So, I also saw that we had for an emergency purchase--well let me stick with this one first.

We just last week had the results of an OEIG issue made public where the director of the Tourism Bureau was found to have some conflict-of-interest arrangements with a company that he had an ownership interest in and that was related

to some of the things that it appears are listed in this request for an emergency purchase. \$43,800,000 for an Emergency Purchase for things for marketing and advertising programs I don't see how that could possibly be an emergency. So, I am going to be questioning DCEO on this one. I would also mention that under the list of emergency purchase statements filed for October 1, 2024 through December 31, 2024. CMS filed a request for an emergency purchase for a vendor Time Zone 1; Signed by David Thomas and Time Zone 1 was the company that was indicated in that OEIG filing that the director of tourism was a part owner in.

On this emergency purchase, the original estimate was \$1.2 million and this was for branding, marketing, and recruitment services related to hiring. But the revised estimate ended up being \$3.7 million. So, I think we have some issues here related to what is actually an emergency and I will make sure that we follow up with those agencies on that issue. Especially since that was such a newsworthy item just last week. Another couple that I found though are related to--well one that I found related to Illinois State University Estimated Costs. This was on the October 1, 2024 through December 31, 2024 Emergency Purchases list. Illinois State University estimated cost \$33 million, the vendor Cole Construction will redevelop the property located at 1709 General Electric Road into a new ISU College of Engineering Facility. The existing facility will be renovated to incorporate approximately 83,000 square feet of classrooms, labs, lecture rooms, and faculty offices.

I also find it hard to believe that this is an actual emergency. I believe the University will be coming before us in the next month or so. So, we will expect to ask them about how this qualifies as an emergency. I wanted to note that the memo that we have regarding emergency purchases says that the law recognizes--it's declared that the state shall use principles of competitive bidding and economical procurement practices shall be applied. The law also recognizes that there will be emergency situations when it will be impossible to conduct bidding. Provides a general exemption when there is a threat to public health or safety or immediate repairs are necessary. So given that guidance in our memo here I would ask that we just take a really careful look at any of these emergency purchases being put forth through these

agencies. Our oversight on this says the Legislative Audit Commission is directed to 1 review the purchases and to comment on abuses of the exemption. So, I know I, for 2 3 one, will be making sure to give these a very careful look as we go forward and going back to those agencies and departments and asking them to explain how these are 4 possibly emergencies. Thank you, Chair. 5 6 Crespo: Thank you, number one, for reading the reports. I know the Auditor General puts these out on a quarterly basis and they do a very good job. I think it's up to 7 us to make sure that we highlight these like we did today Representative so thank you. 8 I'm sorry Representative Manley. 9 Manley: Just to pile on, I think what we need to be careful of is not only the 10 high dollar amount, but actually, the definition of an emergency purchase, because in 11 the aggregate they could get pretty expensive for taxpayers. So don't let just the dollar 12 amount raise your eyebrows. It is the situational-ness. It could be poor planning but we 13 need to look at the whole situation. Thank you and thank you to Representative Elik. 14 Crespo: Again, I would suggest that when these agencies come before your 15 appropriations committees hopefully these would come up as well. Maybe we will make 16 17 this a line item on our agenda to allow for members to bring up any emergency purchases that are suspect or we should at least shed some light on them. With that let 18 me continue on the Reports that do not require Commission action. We also have the 19 agreed upon procedures for Golden Apple Scholars of Illinois Program for FY24. 20 Information submitted by the Retirement Plan for the Chicago Transit Authority. 21 Employees 2024, Annual Review for the Year Ended November 26, 2024. The State's 22 Actuary Report of the Actuarial Assumptions and Evaluations of the State Funded 23 Retirement Systems for the Year Ending December 19, 2024, the State of Illinois State 24 University Retirement System on Allocation of Special Funding Situation for FY23, the 25 Teachers Retirement System of the State of Illinois Report of Allocation of Pension 26 Amounts for FY24, the University of Illinois Auxiliary Facility System Annual Financial 27 Report for FY24, and the University of Illinois Health Services Facility System Annual 28 Financial Report for FY24. 29

1	Looking ahead, the AC will meet again on Tuesday May 13th at 9
2	am. We will review the Illinois State University and the Illinois State Board of Education.
3	Seeing no further business to come before the LAC today on a motion made by
4	Representative Meier's, seconded by Senator Villanueva, the meeting is adjourned,
5	thank you and see you in two-weeks.
6	
7	[END TRANSCRIPTION 02:30:28]